



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/842,931 04/26/2001 | | Kazunobu Uehara | F-6961 | 1189 - | |
| 75 | 7590 07/26/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| Jordan and Hamburg 122 East 42nd Street | | | CASCHERA, ANTONIO A | | |
| New York, NY 10168 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2676 | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/842,93 | 1 | UEHARA ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Antonio A. | Caschera | 2676 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the department of the set of | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no ever on. , a reply within the statut period will apply and will statute, cause the applic | ort, however, may a reply be timory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE | ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | |
| Status | | | • | | | | | |
| 1)[🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>16 June 2005</u> . | | | | | | |
| , — | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| • | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | · · | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Exact The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2001</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country of the oath or declaration is objected to by the | re: a)⊠ accepted to the drawing(s) be correction is require | e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CI | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notic 3) Inforr | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/842,931

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. Receipt is acknowledged of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) and a submission, filed on 6/16/2005.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in the pending application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, 11, 12 and 14-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watari et al. (U.S. Patent 6,154,197), Yamashita et al. (U.S. Patent 5,982,377) and further in view of Stallkamp (U.S. Patent 4,827,250).

In reference to claims 1, 7 and 9, Watari et al. discloses an image generating method for use in gaming systems, to project (by perspective projection) virtual three-dimensional objects onto a two-dimensional plane (see column 1, lines 5-11). Watari et al. discloses using polygons to represent objects of the display (see column 5, lines 19-23) by converting the world

coordinates of these polygons to a two-dimensional system which represents the virtual space viewed from a visual point (see column 5, lines 42-54). Further, Watari et al. discloses the polygon data representing physical objects such as a player's robot, enemy robots, and elements of terrain (see column 5, lines 20-23). Note, the office believes that the RAM of Watari et al. inherently comprises of sets of polygon vertex coordinates, each set, constituting a single threedimensional object as three-dimensional objects are commonly made up of sets or groups of polygons (Official Notice). Watari et al. also discloses a ROM for storing the polygon data and a RAM for storing data required for polygon coordinate conversion (see column 5, lines 6-10 and 19-20). Watari et al. discloses the CPU creating and passing perspective coordinate matrix data to a geometalyzer that performs the perspective conversion of polygon data from the ROM unit (see column 7, lines 4-22). Note, the office interprets the RAM of Watari et al. equivalent to a temporary storage for perspective conversion matrix data. Further, the office interprets the geometalyzer for fixing the coordinate system to a view coordinate system in a threedimensional space and performing perspective conversion of polygon data using conversion matrix data sent from the CPU (see column 7, lines 4-22). Watari et al. also discloses a displaying device applying texture to the polygon data and sending the textured data to a frame buffer to be displayed on a monitor (see column 8, lines 28-31 and #112 of Figure 1). Note, since Watari et al. discloses sending converted textured polygon data to a frame buffer, the office interprets that Watari et al. inherently discloses displaying all of the objects at the same time based upon the sets of converted vertex coordinates because a frame buffer ordinarily stores one full image screen which comprises those sets of converted vertex coordinates that have been converted. Watari et al. does not explicitly disclose reading out a new plurality of conversion

matrices however Yamashita et al. does. Yamashita et al. discloses a three-dimensional graphic displaying system and method allowing a viewpoint of a graphic to change when the graphic is rotated or moved (see lines 1-3 of abstract). Yamashita et al. discloses utilizing six conversion matrices, at the same time, to perform coordinate conversion processing (see column 10, lines 6-12). Yamashita et al. discloses multiplying the six matrices together with elements of the first matrix comprising of coordinates of an original graphic (see column 10, lines 12-31). Note, the office interprets multiplying the above matrices together equivalent to the claimed language of applicants claims stating the matrices are, "...used at the same time..." Yamashita et al. discloses performing the coordinate conversion process on all coordinate points of the graphic (see column 10, lines 6-10) which the office interprets equivalent to vertex coordinates of applicant's claims as vertex coordinates are considered included in, "all coordinates of the graphic." Note, the office interprets that Yamashita et al. produces a plurality of sets of converted vertex coordinates of the polygons as Yamashita et al. discloses converting each coordinate point of a graphic and terminating conversion processing when no unconverted points remain (see column 10, lines 6-10). Further, the office interprets that the matrices used in the coordinate conversion of Yamashita et al. are; "different from each other" and "newly read out" since the matrices comprise of rotational and expansion values that change based on the movement of the graphic, therefore creating matrices with different values (see columns 9-10, lines 65-31 and #S16 of Figure 17, the sin and cos functions and value s). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the image generation method and apparatus of Watari et al. with the coordinate conversion techniques of Yamashita et al. in order to calculate graphic coordinates of an object which vary

depending on a position of a user viewpoint (see lines 8-11 of abstract of Yamashita et al.). Neither Watari et al. nor Yamashita et al. explicitly disclose the 3D objects being of an identical shape and formed at different positions however Stallkamp does. Stallkamp discloses a graphics display system configured to transform model data representing a basic shape of an object into display data (see column 1, lines 6-12). Stallkamp further discloses utilizing matrix computations to transform model data in accordance with position, orientation and scaling data (see column 1, lines 36-43 and column 3, lines 5-14). Lastly, Stallkamp explicitly discloses the utilization of 3D graphics by the disclosed system (see column 4, lines 22-25). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the model data transformation techniques of Stallkamp with the image generation method and apparatus of Watari et al. and coordinate conversion techniques of Yamashita et al. in order to provide a showing of 2D or 3D objects onto a display screen, transforming these objects at relatively high speeds (see column 2, lines 40-49 of Stallkamp). Note, in reference to claim 9, Watari et al. also discloses another ROM unit (#102 of Figure 1) that stores a program that executes the conversion processes (see columns 3-4, lines 66-5).

In reference to claims 3, 6, 11 and 14, Watari et al., Yamashita et al. and Stallkamp disclose all of the claim limitations as applied to claims 1, 4, 9 and 12 respectively. The office interprets that Yamashita et al. produces a plurality of sets of converted vertex coordinates of the polygons as Yamashita et al. discloses converting each coordinate point of a graphic and terminating conversion processing when no unconverted points remain (see column 10, lines 6-10). Further, the office interprets that the matrices used in the coordinate conversion of Yamashita et al. are; "different from each other" and "newly read out" since the matrices

comprise of rotational and expansion values that change based on the movement of the graphic, therefore creating matrices with different values (see columns 9-10, lines 65-31 and #S16 of Figure 17, the sin and cos functions and value s).

In reference to claims 4, 8 and 12, claims 4, 8 and 12 are similar in scope to claims 1, 7 and 9 and therefore are rejected under similar rationale. Note, Watari et al. also discloses data busses connecting the various hardware units, in particular the shape data ROM (see #111 of Figure 1) and the conversion matrix storing RAM (see #103 of Figure 1) with the conversion unit, the geometalyzer (see #110 of Figure 1). The office interprets these data lines to be equivalent to a transfer unit allowing for the transfer of data from the storage units. Neither Watari et al. nor Yamashita et al. explicitly disclose transferring the plurality of perspective conversion matrices different from each other after transferring the polygon coordinate data however at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to transfer data in a certain way which best suits the application at hand or which is preferred by the designer. Applicant has not disclosed that transferring the plurality of perspective conversion matrices different from each other after transferring the polygon coordinate data provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the data transferring methods of Watari et al. and Yamashita et al. because the order in which such above data is transferred provides no immediate criticality to the application at hand, as seen by the office, since both pieces of data are needed for the conversion calculation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to modify Watari et al. and Yamashita et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claims

4, 8 and 12. Note, in reference to claim 12, Watari et al. also discloses another ROM unit (#102 of Figure 1) that stores a program that executes the conversion processes (see columns 3-4, lines 66-5).

In reference to claims 15-22, Watari et al., Yamashita et al. and Stallkamp disclose all of the claim limitation as applied to claims 1, 4, 7, 8, 9, 12, 1, 4 respectively above. Yamashita et al. discloses utilizing six conversion matrices to perform coordinate conversion processing (see column 10, lines 6-12). Yamashita et al. discloses multiplying the six matrices together with elements of the first matrix comprising of coordinates of an original graphic data (see column 10, lines 12-31). This original graphic data is multiplied by the different conversion matrices to produce converted coordinates (see columns 1-3 of 1st matrix in step #S16 of Figure 17 and column 10, lines 11-16 of Yamashita et al.).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, filed 05/16/05, with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-9, 11, 12 and 14-22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

References Cited

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Mifune et al. (U.S. Patent 6,542,155 B1)
 - Mifune et al. discloses a picture processing display which changes the number of elements of a display object based on a distance between a viewpoint and

the display object, determining whether attributes of the display object have changed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Antonio Caschera whose telephone number is (571) 272-7781. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays between 7:30 AM and 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella, can be reached at (571) 272-7778.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

aac

7/18/05

MATTHEW C. BELLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Marker (Bella